



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		<i>;</i>				
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/991,117	11/13/2001	Elliott Farber	14358-315	3442	
	24633 7	590 03/26/2003				
		ARTSON LLP		EXAMI	NER	
	555 THIRTEE	OLUMBIA SQUARE NTH STREET, N.W.		SHARAREH, S	SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1617	0	
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	$\mathcal{S}$	
					,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- :	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/991,117	FARBER, ELLIOTT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shahnam Sharareh	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u></u>	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
,	is action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-105</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-105 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/991,117

Art Unit: 1617

## Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-105, in this application are drawn in Markush format, and contain multiple independent and patentably distinct invention. Accordingly, a requirement to provisionally elect a single independent and patentably distinct species is made as provided in MPEP § 803.02.

This application contains the following patentably distinct species directed to various methods of treating an inflammatory condition utilizing patentably distinct allantoin containing compositions having:

- I. Various emulsifying systems selected from the group
  - a) Anionic or nonionic emulsifiers (claims 1-5, 35-41), classified in class 514, subclass 942 or class 424, subclass 77.02,
  - b) acidic anionic polymers and polyethylene glycol esters of stearic acid (claims 6-16), classified in class 514, subclass 943.
  - acidic anionic polymers and anionic emulsifiers (claims 17 23), classified in class 514, subclass 937.
  - d) acidic anionic polymers (claims 24-34) classified in class 424, subclass 401 or 70.31.
  - e) cetyl alcohol and stearic acid (claims 42-49), classified in class 514, subclass 938.
  - f) sodium stearoyl lactate and sodium isostearoyl lactylate (claims 50-57) classified in class 424, subclass 400.

Art Unit: 1617

g) polyethylene glycol ethers and cetearyl alcohol ethers (claims 58-66) classified in class 514, subclass 846 or 847.

- h) polyethylene glycol esters of steric acid and glyceryl steonate (claims 67-76), classified in class 514, subclass 860 or 865.
- i) carbohydrate polymers, and anionic emulsifier (claims 77-94), classified in class 514, subclass 54+.
- j) Acidic wax and anionic emulsifier,(claims 95-99), classified in class 424, subclass 70.22

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,6,17,24,35,42,50,58,67,77,95 are generic.

It is considered that a Markush-type claim encompassing such species is directed to multiple independent and patentably distinct inventions since the species are so unrelated and diverse that a reference anticipating one of the species would not anticipate or render obvious the other species. Further, the species are considered to be independent since they are unrelated in operation, one does not require the other for ultimate use, and specification does not disclose a dependent relationship between them. Moreover, each of the stated species is considered to be patentably distinct from the others on the basis of its properties. Thus, the stated species are capable of supporting separate patents under 35 U.S.C. 121.

Application/Control Number: 09/991,117

Art Unit: 1617

In the event that the Markush-type claims are not found to be allowable, the examination of the claims presented will be limited to the Markush-type claims to the extent that they read on the elected species and claims directed solely to the elected species. The claims directed solely to the non-elected species will be held withdrawn from consideration. A requirement to elect a species has been held to a tantamount to a requirement for restriction under 35 U.S.C. 121.

By species is meant a single compound. The compound may be named in any of four ways (or any combination thereof): (a) according to the IUPAC standard, (b) by a pictorial representation of the compound, (c) by setting forth the specific chemical group that each variable of the Markush group represents, or (d) by naming a claim or an example which itself sets forth a single compound.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement <u>must include an identification</u> of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/991,117

Art Unit: 1617

Page 5

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahnam Sharareh whose telephone number is 703-306-5400. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, PhD can be reached on 703-308-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1123.

RUSSELL TRAVERS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

SS

March 10, 2003